Asymmetric Catalysis

Enantioselective Copper-Catalyzed Carboetherification of Unactivated Alkenes**

Michael T. Bovino, Timothy W. Liwosz, Nicole E. Kendel, Yan Miller, Nina Tyminska, Eva Zurek, and Sherry R. Chemler**

Abstract: Chiral saturated oxygen heterocycles are important components of bioactive compounds. Cyclization of alcohols onto pendant alkenes is a direct route to their synthesis, but few catalytic enantioselective methods enabling cyclization onto unactivated alkenes exist. Herein reported is a highly efficient copper-catalyzed cyclization of γ-unsaturated pentenols which terminates in C−C bond formation, a net alkene carboetherification. Both intra- and intermolecular C−C bond formations are demonstrated, thus yielding functionalized chiral tetrahydrofurans as well as fused-ring and bridged-ring oxabicyclic products. Transition-state calculations support a cis-oxycupration stereochemistry-determining step.

Oxygen heterocycles, such as tetrahydrofurans, can be found in numerous bioactive compounds.[1,2] Metal-catalyzed carboetherification/cyclization of unsaturated alcohols is a powerful complexity-building strategy for the synthesis of functionalized saturated oxygen heterocycles from simple unactivated alkenols. Significant effort in this field has resulted in the synthesis of diverse oxygen heterocycle products with predictable regio- and diastereoselectivity.[3–12] While the related synthesis of enantiomerically enriched nitrogen heterocycles by either palladium- or copper-catalyzed enantioselective alkene carboamination methodologies has been substantially developed in recent years,[13,14] advances in reaction technology for catalytic enantioselective carboetherification/cyclization of unactivated alkenes have largely remained elusive.[15] Notable exceptions include palladium-catalyzed reactions of *ortho*-vinyl phenols, reactions which proceed through quinone methide intermediates,[16] and palladium-catalyzed cyclizations of γ- and δ-unsaturated phenols which terminate in carbonylation or olefin insertion (whose substituted intermediates are unable to undergo β-hydride elimination).[17] More recently, an enantioselective copper-catalyzed oxytrifluoromethylation of 4-aryl-4-pentenoic acids, where carbon-radical-initiated C−C bond formation occurs prior to C−O bond formation, and copper-catalyzed C−O bond-formation appears to be the enantioselectivity determining step, has been demonstrated.[18] In 2012 we reported a new copper-catalyzed doubly intramolecular alkene carboetherification which produced bicyclic tetrahydrofurans with promising (up to 75% *ee*) enantioselectivity using the (*R,R*)-Ph-box ligand (Scheme 1).[19] At that time, we tentatively assigned the absolute configuration of the product as shown (Scheme 1).

Doubly intramolecular alkene carboetherification (2012)

Enantioselective carboetherification/intermolecular Heck-type coupling (this work)

Scheme 1. Enantioselective copper-catalyzed alkene carboetherification. [a] The major enantiomer's absolute stereochemistry was tentatively (and incorrectly) assigned. [b] The major enantiomer's absolute stereochemistry rigorously assigned. Tf = trifluoromethansesulfonyl.

We report herein optimization of the enantioselective carboetherification reaction (up to >95% *ee*) and a revision of the absolute stereochemical assignment. Importantly, we show herein the expansion of the method to involve intermolecular C−C bond formation by alkyl Heck-type couplings with vinyl arenes (Scheme 1).[13b,20] This method is complementary in substrate scope to existing catalytic enantioselective carboetherifications[15–18,21–23] as aliphatic alcohols with unactivated terminal alkenes are excellent substrates, and various vinylarenes can be used in the coupling step.

The promising level of enantioselectivity (75% *ee*) obtained with 1,1-diphenyl-3,3-dibenzyl-4-pentenol (**1a**) using the (*R,R*)-Ph-box ligand shown in Scheme 1 prompted

[*] M. T. Bovino, T. W. Liwosz, N. E. Kendel, Dr. Y. Miller,
Dr. N. Tyminska, Prof. E. Zurek, Prof. S. R. Chemler
Department of Chemistry, The State University of New York at
Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260 (USA)
E-mail: ezurek@buffalo.edu
        schemler@buffalo.edu

Table 1: Ligand screening.[a]

Nr.	Substrate	Ligand	Yield [%][b]	ee [%][c]
1	**1a**	(*R,R*)-Ph-box	89	−75
2	**1a**	(*S,S*)-*i*Pr-box	96	93
3	**1a**	(*S,S*)-*t*Bu-box	95	>95
4	**1a**	(*S*)-*i*Pr-quinox	90	−20[d]
5	**1b**	(*S,S*)-*t*Bu-box	95	>95

[a] All reactions were run under anhydrous conditions at 0.1 M under Ar in sealed tubes with ca. 0.139 mmol **1** and 1 equiv K$_2$CO$_3$. [b] Yield of product isolated after flash chromatography on silica gel. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. [d] Enantiomers not baseline resolved in this HPLC trace.

Figure 1. X-ray structure of **2b** showing *R,R* absolute stereochemistry. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability.[37]

Table 2: Effect of substrate structure on enantioselective intramolecular carboetherification.[a]

Nr.	Substrate	Product	Yield [%][b]	ee [%][c]
1[d]	**3**	**4**	71	70
2	**5**	**6**	77	82
3	**7**	**8**	99	84
4	**9**	**10/11** (d.r. = 1:1)	96	94/94

[a] Same reaction conditions as used for entry 3 of Table 1. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. [d] This substrate gives 37% ee using (*S*)-*i*Pr-box and −37% ee using (*S*)-*i*Pr-quinox.

us to screen additional ligands (Table 1). It should be noted that these oxidative cyclizations are net C−H functionalizations (arene functionalization) where the stoichiometric oxidant, hypothesized to turnover [Cu^I] to [Cu^{II}] (see Scheme 2), is the readily available and inexpensive activated MnO$_2$ (ca. 85%, <5 μM). We were fortunate to quickly find that the commercially available (*S,S*)-*t*Bu-box ligand gave the opposite enantiomer (determined by HPLC and optical rotation) of the tetrahydrobenzofuran **2a** with greater than 95% *ee* (Table 1, entry 3). Interestingly, the (*S*)-*i*Pr-quinox ligand, though not highly selective (20% *ee*), provides a product enantiomeric to that obtained with (*S,S*)-*t*Bu-Box (compare Table 1 entries 3 and 4). Reaction of the chloro-substituted pentenol **1b** provided the corresponding tetrahydrobenzofuran adduct **2b** in greater than 95% *ee* as well, and we were able to obtain an X-ray crystal structure of **2b**, thus definitively assigning its absolute stereochemistry (using its heavy atom) as *R,R* (Figure 1). This result indicated our original, tentative assignment of the absolute stereochemistry with the (*R,R*)-Ph-box ligand (Scheme 1) was incorrect.[19]

The scope of the copper-catalyzed enantioselective doubly intramolecular alkene carboetherification was briefly explored where both substrate backbone and alkene substitution were varied using the optimized (Table 1, entry 3) reaction conditions (Table 2). Reaction of the less substituted pentenol **3** gave the tetrahydrobenzofuran **4** in 70% *ee*, which was substantially better than previously observed (Scheme 1), but not as high as that observed with the 1,1-diaryl substrates **1** (Table 1). The pentenols **5** and **7**, containing 2,2-diaryl substitution provided the oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octanes **6** and **8**, respectively, with good selectivity (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). (*E*)-2,2-Diphenyl-4-hexenol (**9**) provided a 1:1 diastereomeric

mixture of the oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octanes **10** and **11** with surprisingly excellent enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 4).

We next turned our attention to intermolecular C−C bond-forming reactions, whose development required consideration of the carboetherification reaction mechanism. We have provided evidence for a reaction mechanism involving oxycupration across the alkene with concommittant generation of an unstable organocopper(II) intermediate which undergoes homolysis to generate [Cu^I] and a carbon radical intermediate (Scheme 2).[19] In that study, evidence for a primary carbon radical intermediate was provided by 1) H-atom abstraction from 1,4-cyclohexadiene and 2) an

Scheme 2. Proposed intra- and intermolecular carboetherification mechanism.

isotopic labeling study which gave a 1:1 diastereomeric product mixture from a Z-deuterated alkene.[19] In the case of the doubly intramolecular carboetherification, the radical adds to a pendant arene, and subsequent re-aromatization under the oxidizing reaction conditions provides the observed bicyclic products (Scheme 2). Intramolecularity in the arene addition step seems important as we have not yet observed intermolecular additions to arenes. We hypothesized that intermolecular C−C bond formation could occur if the carbon radical intermediate were intercepted with superior radical acceptors, namely, vinylarenes. Subsequent oxidation of the resulting benzylic radicals would provide new vinyl arenes. We were optimistic the vinyl arene additions would be feasible as these radical acceptors worked in our related copper-catalyzed enantioselective alkene carboamination/alkyl-Heck-type reactions.[13b]

Table 3 summarizes the results of the enantioselective copper-catalyzed coupling of variously substituted γ-unsaturated alcohols with various vinylarenes. 4-Pentenol (**12**) underwent coupling with diphenylethylene to provide the tetrahydrofuran **14** in excellent yield and good enantioselectivity (Table 3, entry 1). The absolute stereochemistry of **14** was assigned as *S* by its conversion into a known tetrahydrofuran and optical rotation comparison (see the Supporting Information for details). The absolute stereochemistry of all other products was assigned by analogy to **2b** and **14**.

Table 3: Intermolecular carboetherification/Heck-type couplings.[a]

| Entry	Substrate	Alkene	Product	Yield [%][b]	ee [%][c]
1[d]	**12**	**13a** Ar = Ph	**14**	92	82
2	**15**	**13a**	**16**	90	82
3	**17**	**13a**	**18a**, Ar = Ph	90	>95
4	**5**	**13a**	**19**, Ar = 4-ClC$_6$H$_4$	80	80
5	**20**	**13a**	**21**	84	>95
6	**22**	**13a**	**23/24** (d.r. = 6:1)	90	86 (maj) >95 (min)
7	**17**	**13b** Ar = 4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	**18b** Ar = 4-MeOC$_6$H$_4$	88	>95
8	**17**	**13c** Ar = 4-FC$_6$H$_4$	**18c** Ar = 4-FC$_6$H$_4$	70	>95
9	**17**	**25**	**26**	88	94
10	**17**	**27**	**28**	81	n.d.[e]
11	**17**	**29a** X = OMe	**30**, E/Z > 20:1	46	>95
12	**20**	**29b** X = tBu	**31**, E/Z > 20:1	42	95

[a] Reactions were run under anhydrous conditions under Ar in a sealed tube. 20 mol% of Cu(OTf)$_2$ was complexed with 25 mol% (*S,S*)-*t*Bu-box (60°C for 2 h in 1 mL PhCF$_3$) then ca. 0.145 mmol alkenol substrate in PhCF$_3$ (0.1 M total), 3 equiv vinylarene, 1 equiv K$_2$CO$_3$, 3 equiv MnO$_2$, and ca. 36 mg 4 Å molecular sieves were added and the reaction stirred at 100°C for 16 h unless otherwise noted. [b] Yield of product isolated after chromatography on silica gel. [c] Determined by HPLC using a chiral stationary phase. [d] Reaction concentration of 0.08 M used. [e] Not determined. Enantiomers would not separate on several chiral HPLC columns. Ts = 4-toluenesulfonyl.

As illustrated in entries 1–6 of Table 3, various alcohol substitution patterns were tolerated, with the α,α-disubstituted alcohols **17** and **20** providing the highest level of enantioselectivity (> 95 % *ee*). The *meso*-dieneol **22** provides a 6:1 ratio of diastereomers favoring the *trans*-substituted tetrahydrofuran **23**, even though the minor *cis* diastereomer **24** is formed with higher enantioselectivity (Table 3, entry 6). Both electron-rich (*p*-MeO) and electron poor (*p*-F) 1,1-diaryl ethylenes can be used in the coupling (Table 3, entries 7 and 8). It should be noted that conversion of the alkene of the product into an aldehyde should be facile[13b] and would extend their utility beyond diarylalkenes. Net benzofuran and indole coupling adducts **26** and **28** were obtained by reaction of the alkenols with heterocyclic vinylarenes **25** and **27**,[24] respectively (Table 3, entries 9 and 10). Additionally, both 4-methoxy- and 4-*tert*-butyl styrene served as the vinylarene component in this coupling reaction, thus giving homoallylic ethers **30** and **31**, respectively, in moderate yield and high enantioselectivity (Table 3, entries 11 and 12).

The high enantioselectivity was used to further probe the mechanism of this novel reaction. Involvement of the chiral copper catalyst in the alkene addition step is evident from the observed reaction enantioselectivity. This step is thought to occur by enantioselective *cis*-oxycupration.[19] *Cis*-oxycupration presupposes that the alcohol moiety of the substrate first coordinates to the copper(II) center in preference to the alkene. This interaction is supported by reported coordination preferences of copper(II) complexes.[25] An alternative *trans*-oxycupration mechanism was thus considered less likely. To further probe the enantiodetermining alkene addition step, the pro-*S* (major) and pro-*R* (minor) *cis*-oxycupration transition states were modeled using density functional theory calculations.

Unrestricted density functional theory calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31 + G(d)[26–30] level of theory using the Gaussian 09 software suite.[31] Single-point calculations using the polarizable continuum model[32] were carried out to determine the solvation free energy, but CH_2Cl_2 ($\varepsilon = 8.93$) was used instead of $PhCF_3$ ($\varepsilon = 9.18$) as the program does not contain parameters for the latter. Calculations of the pro-*S* (major) and pro-*R* (minor) *cis*-oxycupration transition states at 100 °C for **15** are illustrated in Figure 2. The major transition state is 1.59 kcal mol^{-1} lower in Gibbs free energy, thus translating to a calculated *ee* value of 79.1 % (82 % is experimentally observed, Table 3, entry 2). While no steric interactions of 2.2 Å or less were observed in the major transition state, two were observed in the minor transition state, one between a substrate terminal alkene H and a ligand backbone H, and another between a substrate α-carbon H and a ligand backbone H (Figure 2). The Supporting Information contains full details of the computational methodology employed, as well as in-depth analysis of the most important computational results.

The electronic structure of the transition states was further analyzed. Data were obtained in both vacuum and dichloromethane; the latter is shown here. Inclusion of solvent effects via single point calculations on gas phase geometries did not have a notable effect on the results (see the Supporting Information for a comparison). Spin analysis

Figure 2. a) Calculated major transition state. b) Calculated minor transition state. $\Delta\Delta G^{+} = 1.59$ kcal mol^{-1} (79.1 % *ee*).

of the major transition state indicates that the unpaired electron resides mainly on copper(II) (46 %) but the emergent terminal carbon atom (C1−Cu bond) also picks up spin (26 %) as does the oxygen adding to the alkene (21 %). Mulliken charge analysis indicates the internal alkene carbon atom (C2) increases in positive charge from 0.16 in the substrate to 0.95 at the major transiton state, and analysis of the Wiberg bond indices[33–35] indicates the C1−Cu bond is 68 % formed while the C2−O bond is 45 % formed in the major transition state. Taken together, these data support an alkene addition with substantial polar character, though radical contributions cannot be discounted. Tetrahedral twist angle measurements indicate the major transition state is a distorted tetrahedron (16.5° less than a perfect tetrahedron) about the copper center, while the minor transition state is almost perfectly tetrahedral. Natural Bond Order (NBO) analysis of the two transition states indicate there are more favorable bonding interactions in the major transition state than in the minor.

In conclusion, we have rendered the copper-catalyzed carboetherification of 4-alkenols highly enantioselective. We have developed a new intra/intermolecular coupling reaction of 4-alkenols with vinyl arenes and it results in net alkyl Heck-type products where the alkyl component consists of an enantiomerically enriched tetrahydrofuran. This reaction extends the scope of what is possible by polar/radical reaction cascades.[36] The enantioselectivity of the reaction can be greater than 95 % *ee*, and the absolute stereochemistry of the major products was definitively assigned. DFT transition-state calculations are consistent with a *cis*-oxycupration stereodetermining transition state and there is good agreement between experimental and calculated levels of enantiomeric excess. Theoretical studies to fully understand the entire reaction mechanism are ongoing.

[1] J. P. Wolfe, M. B. Hay, *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 261.

[2] N. Li, Z. Shi, Y. Tang, J. Chen, X. Li, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, DOI: 10.3762/bjoc.4.48.

[3] M. B. Hay, A. R. Hardin, J. P. Wolfe, *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3099.

[4] M. B. Hay, J. P. Wolfe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16468.

[5] A. F. Ward, J. P. Wolfe, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1268.

[6] A. F. Ward, Y. Xu, J. P. Wolfe, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 609.

[7] P. Fries, D. Halter, A. Kleinschek, J. Hartung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3906.

[8] G. Zhang, L. Cui, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 1474.

[9] C. Zhu, J. R. Falck, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 6756; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6626.

[10] S. Protti, D. Dondi, M. Fagnoni, A. Albini, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 2240.

[11] S. Nicolai, J. Waser, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6324.

[12] S. Nicolai, S. Erard, D. F. Gonzalez, J. Waser, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 384.

[13] Selected enantioselective copper-catalyzed alkene carboaminations: a) W. Zeng, S. R. Chemler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12948; b) T. W. Liwosz, S. R. Chemler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2020.

[14] Selected enantioselective palladium-catalyzed alkene carboaminations: a) K.-T. Yip, M. Yang, K.-L. Law, N.-Y. Zhu, D. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 3130; b) D. N. Mai, J. P. Wolfe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12157; c) B. A. Hopkins, J. P. Wolfe, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 10024; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9886.

[15] For reviews of palladium-catalyzed enantioselective alkene nucleopalladation reactions, see: a) R. I. McDonald, G. Liu, S. S. Stahl, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 2981; b) J. Doháňošová, T. Gracza, *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 6173.

[16] T. P. Pathak, K. M. Gligorich, B. E. Welm, M. S. Sigman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7870.

[17] a) L. F. Tietze, K. M. Sommer, J. Zinngrebe, F. Stecker, *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 262; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 257; b) L. F. Tietze, D. A. Spiegl, F. Stecker, J. Major, C. Raith, C. Grobe, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8956.

[18] R. Zhu, S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 12887; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12655.

[19] Y. Miller, L. Miao, A. S. Hosseini, S. R. Chemler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12149.

[20] a) T. W. Liwosz, S. R. Chemler, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3034; b) T. Nishikata, Y. Noda, R. Fujimoto, T. Sakashita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16372.

[21] C. F. Nising, S. Brase, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 988.

[22] P. G. McGarraugh, R. C. Johnston, A. Martinez-Munoz, P. H. Y. Cheong, S. E. Brenner-Moyer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 10742.

[23] M. T. Corbett, J. S. Johnson, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2828.

[24] Y. Terada, M. Arisawa, A. Nishida, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 4155; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4063.

[25] B. Gustafsson, M. Hakansson, S. Jagner, *New J. Chem.* **2003**, *27*, 459.

[26] A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098.

[27] C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785.

[28] T. Clark, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel, P. V. R. Schleyer, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 294.

[29] P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, *Theor. Chim. Acta* **1973**, *28*, 213.

[30] V. Rassolov, J. A. Pople, M. Ratner, T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *109*, 1223.

[31] Gaussian 09, Revision A.02, M. J. Frisch, et al., Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, **2010**.

[32] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, E. Cances, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)* **1999**, *464*, 211.

[33] J. P. Foster, F. Weinhold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 7211.

[34] A. E. Reed, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1983**, *78*, 4066.

[35] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 735.

[36] S. Mondal, M. P. Bertrand, M. Nechab, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 839; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 809.

[37] CCDC 985356 (**2b**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.